## SFPE (NZ) TECHNICAL PUBLICATION - TP 2005/2

## CALCULATION METHODS FOR STORAGE WATER USED FOR FIRE FIGHTING PURPOSES

(Refer to TP 2004/1 for "Calculation Methods for Water Flows Used for Fire Fighting")[1]. (Refer to TP 2005/3 for "Comparison of Methods used for Determining Water Used for Fire Fighting Purposes") (to be published).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The previously published TP 2004/1 sets out design methods for calculating required flow rates from water mains used for fire fighting purposes. TP 2005/2 sets out design methods for determining how much water should be provided in storage to back up the required flow rates. A useful way of comparing the different methods used for calculating the required storage volumes is to assume the water is stored over an area equal to the floor area of the firecell. This gives an "equivalent water depth" which provides a very simple comparison of different design methods. As will be demonstrated, the "ratio of the storage volume divided by the total fire load" (S/E ratio) also provides a useful ranking factor of the design methods.

Five Methods are described below. The first is based on arbitrary times. The second is based on arbitrary fire intensities. The third is based on firecell volume. The fourth is based on the time taken to reach the peak temperature of the fire, and the fifth is based on total fire load. Methods 4 and 5 are based on fire engineering and use the properties of the water, fuel, and the behaviour of the fire, whereas Methods 1, 2 and 3 do not.

To assist in comparing the design methods, the same firecell has been used in each worked example having a floor area of $625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2$ and a total fire load E of $500,000 \mathrm{MJ}$. This represents a fire load energy density (FLED) of $800 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$.
2. METHOD 1 - ARBITRARY TIME METHOD

Some fire authorities use un-scientific methods of calculating the required water storage by adopting quite arbitrary times of flow $t_{a}$ which increase as the hazard increases but have no obvious basis that relates to the behaviour of a fire. The primary disadvantage of such methods is that they can introduce overly large safety factors into the system. A typical equation for determining the water storage volume by such a method is :-

$$
\underline{S=F \times t} \underline{\underline{t}} .
$$

litres (Eq. 1)
where $S=$ required storage in litres
$F=$ required flow rate in litres/sec
and $\quad t_{\mathbf{a}}=$ arbitrary time for which the flow is required in seconds (see below).
An example of this type of approach can be seen in Table 2 from the NZFS Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2003. [2]. This document sets out the required storage volumes as follows:-

| W <br> Water <br> Supply <br> ClassificationF <br> Required <br> Flow Rate <br> (litres/second) | $t_{a}$ <br> Assumed <br> Time of Flow <br> (minutes) | S <br> Storage Water <br> (litres) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | 12.5 | 30 | 23,000 |
| W3 | 25 | 30 | 45,000 |
| W4 | 50 | 60 | 180,000 |
| W5 | 100 | 90 | 540,000 |
| W6 | 150 | 120 | $1,080,000$ |
| W7 | 200 | 180 | $2,160,000$ |

Table 1: Fire fighting water supply from Table 2 of SNZ PAS 4509 [2].

Table 2 of SNZ PAS NZFS 4509 [2] can in some cases require an equivalent water depth of 2.7 meters over the floor area of the firecell. (Refer to Appendix A). This is more than 4 times the value derived from specific fire engineering. (Refer to Appendix C). No provision appears to have been made for exposure situations in Tables 1 and 2 of SNZ PAS NZFS 4509.

## Example 1.1

Assume a retail shop has a total fire load of $500,000 \mathrm{MJ}$ over a floor area $A_{f}$ of $625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2$. The fire load energy density (FLED) is therefore $800 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ which corresponds to a Fire Hazard Category of 2 (FHC2). Use Tables 1 and 2 of SNZ PAS NZFS 4509 to determine the required fire fighting water storage volume. From Table 1 and FHC2 the required water classification is W6. From Table 2 the required flow rate F for W 6 is $150 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$, and the required flow time tf is 120 minutes. From this the storage volume S, the equivalent depth dw , and the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}$ ratio can be calculated as follows:-

## 3. METHOD 2 - ABITRARY FIRE INTENSITY METHOD (E/Q).

An alternative arbitrary method is to determine the time of flow $t_{f}$ by dividing the total fire load $E$ by an arbitrary value of the maximum fire intensity $Q_{m a x}$. Thus:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \quad=A_{f} \times \text { FLED........................................................MJ } \\
& Q_{\max }=A_{f} \times \text { qmax. }_{\text {man............................................................ }}^{\text {MW }}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\underline{g}_{\max }=$ arbitrary assumed unit fire intensity in MW/m^2

However because E and $\mathrm{Qmax}_{\max }$ both depend on the floor area $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}$, the floor area can be eliminated resulting in a simpler equation as follows:-

$$
\underline{\mathrm{tf}}=\mathrm{FLED} / \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{max}} .
$$

NZFS 4509 [2] is an example of this type of method. Table E2 of SNZ PAS NZFS 4509 sets out arbitrary values of $q_{\text {max. }}$. Table E2 has been modified below by adding Cols. (2), (3) and (5) as shown below:-

| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy | Fire Hazard Category | Fire Load Energy <br> Density <br> FLED | Assumed Unit Fire <br> Intensity <br> $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{max}}$ | Time of Flow |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}$ |  |  |  |  |$|$| $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ | 0.25 | minutes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | FHC | 800 | 0.50 |
| Retail | 2 | 800 | 1.00 |
| Warehouse | 2 | 1200 | 27 |

Table 2: Unit fire intensities of fuel controlled fires from Table E2 of SNZ PAS NZFS 4509 [2].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=F \times t_{f}=150 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} \times 120 \mathrm{mins} \times 60 \mathrm{~s}=1,080,000 \text { litres } \\
& d_{w}=S / A_{f}=1,080000 \text { litres } / 625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2=\underline{1,728 \mathrm{~mm}} \\
& S / E=1,080,000 \text { litres/ } 500,000 \mathrm{MJ}=\underline{2.16}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2.1
A retail shop has a total fire load of $500,000 \mathrm{MJ}$ over a floor area Af of $625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2$. The FLED is therefore $800 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ and the fire hazard category classification of FHC2. From Table 1 of SNZ PAS NZFS 4509 and FHC2 the corresponding water classification is W6. From Table 2 of SNZ PAS NZFS 4509, the corresponding flow rate for W6 is $150 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$. From the modified Table E2 (Table 2 above), the time of flow $f f$ is 27 minutes. The required storage volume $S$, the equivalent depth $d_{w}$, and the S/E ratio can be calculated as :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{Fxt} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=150 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} \times 27 \mathrm{~min} \times 60 \mathrm{~s}=\underline{243,000 \text { litres }} \\
& d_{w}=S / A_{f}=243,000 \text { litres } / 625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2=389 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& S / E=243,000 \text { litres } / 500,000 \mathrm{MJ}=\underline{0.49}
\end{aligned}
$$

The disadvantage of Method 2 is that for a fuel surface controlled fires the value of $t_{f}$ may be less than that of the time $t_{m}$ taken to reach $Q_{\text {max. }}$. Also provision for exposure has to be made in accordance with Clause F4 of Appendix F of SNZ PAS NZFS 4509 but this calculation cannot be done without first doing an on-site survey of the details of any existing adjacent buildings. If there are no adjacent buildings the required exposure allowance is nil. The assumed unit fire intensity values in Column (4) of modified Table E2 (Table 2 above) are higher than would be derived from specific fire engineering calculations. Typical calculated results for office, retail and warehouse using specific fire engineering would respectively be in the region of $0.16,0.18$ and $0.25 \mathrm{MWm}^{\wedge} 2$ or less. The flow times in Column (5) would then become longer namely 67,67 and 80 minutes respectively creating much higher water storage values than derived from Table 2 of SNZ PAS NZFS 4509. Example 2.2 demonstrates this.

## Example 2.2

Assume a retail shop has a total fire load of $500,000 \mathrm{MJ}$ over a floor area $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}$ of $625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2$. The fire load energy density (FLED) is therefore $800 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ which corresponds to a fire hazard category of 2 (FHC2). The total fire load E is therefore $500,000 \mathrm{MJ}$. A realistic value of the unit fire intensity would be $0.18 \mathrm{MW} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$. From Table 1 and FHC2 the required water classification is W6. From the modified Table E2 of SNZ PAS NZFS 4509 (Table 2 above) the required flow rate F for W6 is $150 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{s}$. Calculate the required flow time $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}$. From this the storage volume S , the equivalent depth $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{w}}$, and the S/E ratio can be calculated as :-
$\left.\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Q}=500,000 \mathrm{MJ} /\left(0.18 \mathrm{MW} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2 \times 625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2\right)=\underline{4,444 \mathrm{~s}}=74 \mathrm{~min}\right)$
$S=F x t_{f}=150 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} \times 4,444 \mathrm{~s}=666,700$ litres
$d_{w}=S / A_{f}=666,700$ litres $/ 625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2=\underline{1,067 \mathrm{~mm}}$
$S / E=666,700$ litres $/ 500,000 \mathrm{MJ}=1.33$
thus $\underline{S}=1.33 \mathrm{E}$ litres

## 4. METHOD 3 - FIRECELL VOLUME METHOD

In Method 3, the water storage volume is considered to be proportional to the volume of the firecell, an arbitrary value for the type of occupancy in the firecell, and an arbitrary value for the occupancy hazard classification. The following discussion uses NFPA 1142 [3] as an example of this method. For imperial values the water storage volume is :-

For metric values the equation is :-
where $\mathrm{kn}=$ nominal factor based on arbitrary values used for Construction Class (CC) and Occupancy Hazard Classification No. (OHC) (see Table 3 below) and $\quad V=$ firecell volume in either $f^{\wedge} 3$ or $\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$

|  | Construction Class (CC) > | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Construction Type > | FR Const | Non Comb | Ord Const | Wood Const |
| Occupancy Hazard Description | Occupancy Hazard Class No. (OHC) | Nominal Factor kn |  |  |  |
| Light | 7 | 0.36 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 1.07 |
| Low | 6 | 0.42 | 0.63 | 0.83 | 1.25 |
| Moderate | 5 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.50 |
| High | 4 | 0.63 | 0.94 | 1.25 | 1.88 |
| Severe | 3 | 0.83 | 1.25 | 1.67 | 2.50 |

Table 3: Values of nominal factor kn as per arbitrary values for CC and OHC from NFPA 1142 [3].

## Example 3.1 - Imperial System

Determine what water storage volume would be required for a $54,000 \mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 3$ firecell ( $82 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{W} \times 82 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{x} 8$ ft H ). Assume the building is wood construction ( $\mathrm{CC}=1.5$ ), and it has a "low" occupancy hazard class number ( OHC ) of 6 . Table 3 above shows the value of $\mathrm{kn}=1.25$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}=82 \mathrm{ft} \times 82 \mathrm{ft} \times 8 \mathrm{ft}=\underline{54,000 \mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 3} \\
& \mathrm{~S}=0.2 \times 1.25 \times 54,000 \mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 3=\underline{13,500} \text { US gallons }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example 3.2 - Metric System

Determine what water storage volume would be required for a retail shop having a total fire load of $500,000 \mathrm{MJ}$ and a floor area of $625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2$ firecell ( $25 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~W} \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2.44 \mathrm{mH}$ ). Assume the building is of wood construction ( $\mathrm{CC}=1.5$ ), and it has a low occupancy hazard class number $(\mathrm{OHC})$ of 6 (which corresponds to a FLED of $800 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ ). From Table 3 above the value of $\mathrm{kn}=1.25$.
$\mathrm{V}=25 \mathrm{~m} \times 25 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.44 \mathrm{~m}=\underline{1,525 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 3}$
$S \quad=26.733 \times 1.25 \times 1,525 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 3=\underline{51,000}$ litres $\quad(=13,500$ US gallons $)$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}=51,000$ litres $/ 625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2=\underline{82 \mathrm{~mm}}$
$S / E=51,000$ litres/ 500,000 MJ= $\underline{0.10}$
thus $\underline{S=0.10 E \text { litres }}$
The above-calculated values are for non-exposure situations. For exposure situations, Clause 7.3.1 of NFPA 1142 [3] recommends adding 50\% to the above calculated storage values.

## 5. METHOD 4- FIRE ENGINEERING METHOD BASED ON PEAK TEMPERATURE TIME ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}$ )

In Method 4, the water storage volume is related to $t_{m}$ which is the point in time when the maximum fire temperature occurs in a fire. The time of flow $t_{f}$ is taken as the time $t m$ plus a safety margin of 1.5. Fig 2. from Ref [4] is shown as Fig. 1 below. It shows the difference in $t_{m}$ for a fuel surface controlled fire and a ventilation controlled fire.

While the unique properties of water as set out in TP 2004/1 [1] are used therein to determine fire fighting water flows, the same properties can be used to determine the required storage volume. Fig. 1 in TP 2004/1 shows that 1 kg of water when boiled at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from an initial temperature of $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ can absorb 2.6 MJ. Put another way, for each MJ of fuel in the firecell, and if the cooling efficiency is $100 \%$, a fire fighter theoretically needs $1 / 2.6$ or $0.385 \ell$ water as steam at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to absorb the heat output of each MJ of fuel as it burns in a fire.


Fig. 1 : Fire design curves showing the difference in location of $T_{\max }$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}$ for fuel surface controlled and ventilation controlled fires [4].

The same firecell was used in the three following examples being $25 \mathrm{~mW} \times 25 \mathrm{mD} \times 2.4 \mathrm{mH}$ with openings 1.5 mH . Widths of the openings were adjusted to give opening areas equal to $11 \%, 4 \%$ and $1 \%$ of the floor areas respectively. As in the previous worked examples the floor area is $625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2$, the FLED is $800 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ and the total fire load E is $500,000 \mathrm{MJ}$. The fire growth coefficient was chosen as $225 \mathrm{~s} / 1 \mathrm{MW}$ being midway between a moderate (300) and fast (150) fire. The fire decay coefficient was chosen as 4 times the value of the growth coefficient value or $900 \mathrm{~s} / 1 \mathrm{MW}$.[4].

The Heat of Combustion H'n was taken as $18 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ to represent a fire load of mostly wood but with some plastic. The input data for each example thus consisted of 9 items and were used in the same manner to that set out in Table 5 of Ref [4]. The calculated fire intensities of the three examples are 120, 80 and 40 MW respectively as shown in Fig. 2 which follows. To derive the time of flow tf a safety margin (SM) of 1.5 is applied to the peak temperature time tm. Flow is calculated as $F=0.385$ $Q_{\max }$ as per Eq 3 in Ref [1].


Fig 2: Fire design curves for three different opening situations in a firecell having a floor area of $625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge}$ 2. The area under each curve is the same and is equal to $500,000 \mathrm{MJ}$.

Example 4.1
In Example 4.1 the firecell has $11 \%$ openings resulting in a fuel surface controlled fire (at the FC/VC point) [1], $\mathrm{Q}_{\max }=120 \mathrm{MW}$, and $\mathrm{tm}=41 \mathrm{~min}$. as illustrated in Fig. 2 above.
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}=41 \mathrm{~min} \times 60=\underline{2,460 \mathrm{~s}}$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} \times \mathrm{SM}=2460 \mathrm{~s} \times 1.5=\underline{3,690 \mathrm{~s}} \quad(=62 \mathrm{~min})$
F $\quad=0.385 \mathrm{Q}_{\max }=0.385 \times 120 \mathrm{MW}=46.2$ litres $/ \mathrm{sec}$
$S \quad=F \times t_{f}=46.2 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} \times 3,690 \mathrm{~s}=\underline{170,000}$ litres
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{w}} \quad=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}=170,000$ litres $/ 625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2=\underline{273 \mathrm{~mm}}$
$S / E=170,000$ litres $/ 500,000 \mathrm{MJ}=\underline{0.34}$
thus $\underline{S}=0.34 \mathrm{E}$ litres

## Example 4.2

In Example 4.2 the firecell has $4 \%$ openings resulting in a ventilation controlled fire, $Q_{\max }=$ 80 MW , and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}=82 \mathrm{~min}$. as illustrated in Fig. 2 above.
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad=82 \mathrm{~min} \times 60=\underline{4,920 \mathrm{~s}}$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} \times \mathrm{SM}=4,920 \mathrm{~s} \times 1.5=\underline{7,380 \mathrm{~s}} \quad(=123 \mathrm{~min})$
F $\quad=0.385 \mathrm{Q}_{\max }=0.385 \times 80 \mathrm{MW}=\underline{30.8 \text { litres } / \mathrm{sec}}$

S $\quad=F \times t_{f}=30.8 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} \times 7380 \mathrm{~s}=\underline{227,000}$ litres
$d_{w}=S / A_{f}=227,000$ litres $/ 625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2=\underline{364 \mathrm{~mm}}$
$S / E=227,000 / 500,000=\underline{0.45}$
thus $\qquad$

In Example 4.3 the firecell has $1 \%$ openings resulting in a ventilation controlled fire, $Q_{\max }=$ 40 MW , and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}=195 \mathrm{~min}$. as illustrated in Fig. 2 above.
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}=195 \mathrm{~min} \times 60=\underline{11,700 \mathrm{~s}}$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} \times \mathrm{SM}=11,700 \mathrm{~s} \times 1.5=\underline{17,550 \mathrm{~s}} \quad(=293 \mathrm{~min})$
F $\quad=0.385 \mathrm{Q}_{\max }=0.385 \times 40 \mathrm{MW}=15.4$ litres $/ \mathrm{sec}$
S $\quad=F \times t_{f}=15.4 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} \times 17,700 \mathrm{~s}=\underline{270,000}$ litres
$d_{w}=S / A_{f}=270,000$ litres $/ 625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2=\underline{432 \mathrm{~mm}}$
$S / E=270,000$ litres $/ 500,000 \mathrm{MJ}=\underline{0.54}$
thus $\underline{S}=0.54 \mathrm{E}$ litres

## 6. METHOD 5-FIRE ENGINEERING METHOD BASED ON TOTAL FIRE LOAD (E)

In Method 5, the water storage volume is related to the total fire load E in the firecell. In other words the cooling potential of the water is balanced against the heating potential of the fuel. Examples 5.1 to 5.2 use the same figures for openings and intensities as in Examples 4.1 to 4.3. The time of flow is determined from $t_{f}=E / Q_{\text {max }}$ plus a safety margin of 1.3. $Q_{\max }$ is derived using the 9 input items as per the fire engineering method set out in Ref [4].

## Example 5.1

As in Example 4.1 above, the firecell has $11 \%$ openings and $Q_{\max }=120 \mathrm{MW}$ as illustrated in Fig. 2 above.
$t_{f}=E / Q_{\max }=500,000 \mathrm{MJ} / 120 \mathrm{MW}=\underline{4167 \mathrm{~s}} \quad(=69 \mathrm{~min})$
$F \quad=0.385 \mathrm{Q}_{\max }=0.385 \times 120 \mathrm{MW}=\underline{46.2 \text { litres } / \mathrm{sec}}$

S $\quad=F \times t_{f} \times \mathrm{SM}=46.2 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{s} \times 4167 \mathrm{~s} \times 1.3=\underline{250,000 \text { litres }}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{w}} \quad=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{A}_{f}=250,000$ litres $/ 625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2=400 \mathrm{~mm}$
$S / E=250,000$ litres $/ 500,000 \mathrm{MJ}=\underline{0.50}$
thus $\underline{S}=0.50 \mathrm{E}$ litres
Example 5.2
As in Example 4.2 above, the firecell has $4 \%$ openings and $Q_{\max }=80 \mathrm{MW}$ as illustrated in Fig. 2 above.
$t_{f}=E / Q \max =500,000 \mathrm{MJ} / 80 \mathrm{MW}=\underline{6250 \mathrm{~s}} \quad(=104 \mathrm{~min})$
F $\quad=0.385 \mathrm{Q}_{\max }=0.385 \times 80 \mathrm{MW}=\underline{30.8 \text { litres } / \mathrm{sec}}$
S $\quad=F \times t_{f} \times \mathrm{SM}=30.8 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} \times 6250 \mathrm{~s} \times 1.3=\underline{250,000}$ litres
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{w}} \quad=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}=250,000$ litres $/ 625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2=\underline{400 \mathrm{~mm}}$
$S / E=250,000 / 500,000=\underline{0.50}$
thus
$\underline{S}=0.50 \mathrm{E}$ litres

## Example 5.3

As in Example 4.3 above, the firecell has $1 \%$ openings and $Q_{\max }=40 \mathrm{MW}$ as illustrated in Fig. 2 above.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{tf}=\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Qmax}=500,000 \mathrm{MJ} / 40 \mathrm{MW}=\underline{12500 \mathrm{~s}} \\
& \mathrm{~F}=0.385 \mathrm{Q}_{\max }=0.385 \times 40 \mathrm{MW}=\underline{15.4 \text { litres } / \mathrm{sec}} \\
& \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{Fxt}_{f} \times \mathrm{SM}=15.4 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} \times 12,500 \mathrm{~s} \times 1.3=\underline{250,000 \mathrm{~min})} \\
& \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}=250,000 \text { litres } \\
& \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}=250,000 \text { litres } / 500,000 \mathrm{MJ}=\underline{0.50} \\
& \text { thus } \quad \underline{\mathrm{S}} \quad=0.50 \mathrm{E} \text { litres }
\end{aligned}
$$

As will be seen above, all three examples end up requiring the same water storage volume namely 250,000 litres. If the fire fighting system is designed to cater for the worst $Q_{\max }$ case, namely at the FC/VC changeover point for this firecell [1], than all other ventilation cases will be automatically catered for. If the ventilation is greater than the FC/VC value then the fire will not burn at any greater intensity. If the ventilation is less than the FC/VC case, the fire will burn for a longer time and at a lower fire intensity as demonstrated by the $4 \%$ and $1 \%$ ventilation cases in Fig. 2 but the required fire flow will also be lower.

However because each worked example produces the same value for the equivalent depth of water $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{w}}$ namely 400 mm , this indicates that the $S / E$ ratio is constant. This produces the very simple formula with a built safety margin of 1.3 is as follows :=

$$
\underline{S}=0.50 \mathrm{E} .
$$

litres (Eq.
6)

The same formula can also be derived from the general equation Eq. 2 in TP2004/1:-
where $\mathrm{F}=$ fire fighting water flow in $\ell / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}=$ heating efficiency of fire (conservatively 0.50 for a typical firecell)
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ cooling efficiency of available water (conservatively 0.50 for a water main)
$Q_{\max }=\quad$ maximum heat output of fire in MW
$Q_{w}=$ heat absorptive capacity of water at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=2.6 \mathrm{MW} / \ell / \mathrm{s}$.
In simple terms this means that for each MW of $Q_{\max }$ in a fire, the fire fighting water flow, will need to be $0.50 /(0.50 \times 2.6 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg})=\underline{0.385 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{MW} \text { of } \mathrm{Q}_{\text {max }} \text {. } . . . . . ~}$

As an equation this becomes:-

$$
\mathrm{F}=0.385 \mathrm{Q}_{\max }
$$

If $\quad S=F \times t_{f}$ and $t_{f}=E / Q_{\max }$
then $S=F x\left(E / Q_{\max }\right)=0.385 Q_{\max } x E / Q_{\max }=0.385 E$ $\qquad$ litres

Applying a safety margin of 1.3 to $S$ results in the same equation as Eq. 6 above namely :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{S}=1.3 \times 0.385 \mathrm{E}=0.50 \mathrm{E} . \\
& \text { litres }
\end{aligned}
$$

The primary advantage of this equation is that the need to calculate $Q_{\max }$ has been eliminated.

In practice the flow of water does not start until the fire engines arrive, the attack point decided upon, and the hoses are run out and connected. The fire attack time may typically be 10 to 15 minutes after the fire start time. Fig 3 (a) below shows the fire flow starting at time zero, whereas Fig. 3 (b) shows a time shift to the right to allow for the fire attack start time. Fig 3 (c) shows what happens when fire engines arrives one after the other. Fig 3 (d) shows the same as (c) but with a fire efficiency of only $50 \%$. Similar arrangements apply to the ventilation controlled examples in (e) to (h) below except that the Fire Commander can select lower flows to suit the lower intensity fires.


Fig. 3 : Figures show cooling intensity versus fire intensity. The water flow is shown as MW of cooling capacity instead of flow in litres/s. The area under each water curve represents the storage volume of water in MJ of cooling capacity. The area under each fire curve represents the total fire load in MJ of heating capacity.

Five design methods were discussed above. These are :-
(a) Method $1 \quad \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{Fx} \mathrm{ta}_{\mathbf{a}}$
(b) Method $2 \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}}$
(c) Method $3 \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{kn} \times \mathrm{V}$
(d) Method $4 \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} \times \mathrm{SM}$
(e) Method $5 \mathrm{~S}=0.385 \times \mathrm{SM} \times \mathrm{E}$

A summary of the results from the worked examples is set out in Table 5 below.

| Design <br> Method | Worked <br> Example | Storage <br> S <br> litres | Equivalent <br> Depth $d_{w}$ <br> mm | Safety <br> Margin <br> SM | S/E Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1 | $1,080,000$ | 1,728 | - | 2.16 |
| 2 | 2.1 | 243,000 | 389 | - | 0.49 |
| 2 | 2.2 | 666,700 | 1067 | 1.0 | 1.33 |
| 3 | 3.2 | 51,000 | 82 | 1.0 | 0.15 |
| 4 | 4.1 | 170,000 | 273 | 1.5 | 0.34 |
| 4 | 4.2 | 227,000 | 364 | 1.5 | 0.45 |
| 4 | 4.3 | 270,000 | 452 | 1.5 | 0.54 |
| 5 | 5.1 | 250.000 | 400 | 1.3 | 0.50 |
| 5 | 5.2 | 250,000 | 400 | 1.3 | 0.50 |
| 5 | 5.3 | 250,000 | 400 | 1.3 | 0.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Summary of results from Worked Examples for a $625 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 2$ firecell using Design Methods 1 to 5.

Method 4 gives required volumes based on specific fire engineering, but the geometry of the firecell needs to be known along with estimates of the fire growth and fire decay coefficients which need to selected on the basis of engineering judgement.

For general use however Method 5 is recommended because of its sheer simplicity. The geometry of the firecell is not required. The minimum volume of storage water for fire fighting purposes shall be al least equal to $0.385 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{MJ}$ of fire load. If a safety margin of $30 \%$ is added to this minimum, the volume of storage water needed to deal with the fire when it reaches it's peak temperature, the equation becomes :-

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=0.5 E \text { litres } . \tag{Eq.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In closing it could be said that to date, few if any fire codes appear to have based their required water storage volumes on fire engineering logic. TP 2005/2 may be the first time this subject has been approached from a fire engineering point of view.

As mentioned in the Summary of TP 2004/1, there are extra bonuses not included in the above storage calculations. These are the extra heat absorbtion capacity of water from 100 to $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the modern fog nozzle versus the traditional jet nozzle, and the extra steam volume produced when heated to $600{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rather than just $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Cliff Barnett
FSFPE, Dist FIPENZ, MNZM
Past President NZ Chapt SFPE
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| Floor | Storage in Litres |  |  | Equivalent Water Depth in mm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 400 | 800 | 1200 | 400 | 800 | 1200 |
| $\underline{\mathrm{m}}$ 2 | $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ | $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ | $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ | $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ | $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 4$ |
| 0 | 45,000 | 180,000 | 540,000 |  |  |  |
| 200 | 45,000 | 180,000 | 540,000 | 225 | 900 | 2700 |
| 400 | 180,000 | 540,000 | 1,080,000 | 450 | 1350 | 2700 |
| 600 | 540,000 | 1,080,000 | 1,080,000 | 900 | 1800 | 1800 |
| 800 | 540,000 | 1,080,000 | 1,080,000 | 675 | 1350 | 1350 |
| 1000 | 540,000 | 1,080,000 | 2,160,000 | 540 | 1080 | 2160 |
| 1200 | 1,080,000 | 2,160,000 | 2,160,000 | 900 | 1800 | 1800 |
| 1400 | 1,080,000 | 2,160,000 |  | 771 | 1543 |  |
| 1600 | 1,080,000 | 2,160,000 |  | 675 | 1350 |  |
| 1800 | 1,080,000 |  |  | 600 |  |  |
| 2000 | 1,080,000 |  |  | 540 |  |  |
| 2200 | 1,080,000 |  |  | 491 |  |  |
| 2400 | 1,080,000 |  |  | 450 |  |  |
| 2600 | 1,080,000 |  |  | 415 |  |  |
| 2800 | 2,160,000 |  |  | 771 |  |  |

FIRE FIGHTING WATER STORAGE TO TABLE 2 OF NZFS 4509


Fig. 4 : Fire fighting water storage calculated as per Eq. 1. Storage values are for suppression only. No allowance is made for exposure.

| Floor | Firecell |  | 400 | 800 | 1200 | 400 | 800 | 1200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Volume |  | $\underline{M J / m \wedge 2}$ | $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ | $\underline{\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge}}$ | $\underline{M J / m^{\wedge} 2}$ | $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ | $\underline{\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge}}$ |
| Af | $\underline{\text { V }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3}$ | CC => | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{OHC}=>$ | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}=>$ | 0.75 | 0.85 | 1 | 2.79 | 3.16 | 3.72 |
| 200 | 488 |  | 9,778 | 11,082 | 13,037 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 400 | 975 |  | 19,556 | 22,163 | 26,074 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 600 | 1,463 |  | 29,334 | 33,245 | 39,111 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 800 | 1,951 |  | 39,111 | 44,326 | 52,149 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 1000 | 2,438 |  | 48,889 | 55,408 | 65,186 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 1200 | 2,926 |  | 58,667 | 66,489 | 78,223 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 1400 | 3,414 |  | 68,445 | 77,571 | 91,260 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 1600 | 3,901 |  | 78,223 | 88,653 | 104,297 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 1800 | 4,389 |  | 88,001 | 99,734 | 117,334 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 2000 | 4,877 |  | 97,779 | 110,816 | 130,371 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 2200 | 5,364 |  | 107,556 | 121,897 | 143,409 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 2400 | 5,852 |  | 117,334 | 132,979 | 156,446 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 2600 | 6,340 |  | 127,112 | 144,061 | 169,483 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 2800 | 6,828 |  | 136,890 | 155,142 | 182,520 | 49 | 55 | 65 |
| 3000 | 7,315 |  | 146,668 | 166,224 | 195,557 | 49 | 55 | 65 |

Storage $=K_{m} \times V$
Iitres. $\qquad$ Eq. 5

FIRE FIGHTING WATER STORAGE TO NFPA 1142 FOR ORDINARY CONSTRUCTION (CC = 1.0)


Fig 5: Fire fighting water storage calculated as per Eq. 5. Add $50 \%$ for exposure if required as per Clause 7.3.1 of NFPA 1142.

Storage in Litres
Equivalent Water Depth in mm

| $\frac{\text { Floor }}{\text { Area }}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\underline{m^{\wedge} 2}$ | $\underline{400}$ | $\frac{800}{\mathrm{MJ}^{\wedge} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2}$ | $\frac{1200}{\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2}}$ |

$\frac{400}{M_{J} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2} \quad \underline{M_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2} \quad \frac{1200}{\mathrm{MJ}^{\frac{800}{\wedge} 2}}$
200

| 40,000 | 80,000 | 120,000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60,000 | 120,000 | 180,000 |
| 80,000 | 160,000 | 240,000 |
| 100,000 | 200,000 | 300,000 |
| 120,000 | 240,000 | 360,000 |
| 160,000 | 320,000 | 480,000 |
| 200,000 | 400,000 | 600,000 |
| 240,000 | 480,000 | 720,000 |
| 280,000 | 560,000 | 840,000 |
| 320,000 | 640,000 | 960,000 |
| 360,000 | 720,000 | $1,080,000$ |
| 400,000 | 800,000 | $1,200,000$ |
| 440,000 | 880,000 | $1,320,000$ |
| 480,000 | 960,000 | $1,440,000$ |
| 520,000 | $1,040,000$ | $1,560,000$ |
| 560,000 | $1,120,000$ | $1,680,000$ |
| 600,000 | $1,200,000$ | $1,800,000$ |

$\underline{\text { Storage }=} \mathbf{0 . 5 0 \mathrm { E }}$ .litres....... Eq. (6)

FIRE FIGHTING WATER STORAGE TO METHOD 5 IN TP 2005/2


Fig 6: Fire fighting water storage calculated as per Eq. 6. Allows 50\% for suppression and 50\% for exposure.

